Single Bench

(1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition NO. 4988 **/2004**

PETITIONER : Manish Tiwari, S/o. Late (Dr.) M.P. Tiwari, aged 32 years, R/o. behind Sohaga Mandir Brahamanpara , Raipur Tah. and DistT. Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4545/M
Presented by Sh. Rajeev Rai Mishra
dated 22/11/04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of chhattisgarh Through -Secretary General Administration Department, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department of Higher Education , D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
3. Commissioner Higher Education Raipur (C.G.)
4. Additional Director Higher Education Regional Office, Raipur (C.G.)
5. Principal, Govt. College, Vaishali Nagar-Bhilai, District Durg (C.G.)
6. State of Madhya Pradesh Through Secretary Department of Higher Education ,Vallabh Bhawan Bhopal (M.P.)

(2)

(9)

7. Union of India
Through Secretary
Department of Personnel
and Training
New Delhi

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

55

Writ Petition No. 4988 of 2004

Petitioner Manish Tiwari

Versus

Respondents The State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri Ratan Pusty, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.
Shri S.K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for the respondent No. 7.

ORAL ORDER

(10th July, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the son of Dr. M.P. Tiwari, who was working as the Principal in the Government College, Vaishali Nagar, Bhilai. Dr. M.P. Tiwari died in harness on 29.5.2000.

2. The petitioner, being one of the dependant-legal heirs of the deceased filed an application thereafter for compassionate appointment before the respondents/authorities. The said application was not considered on the ground that the death has occurred before creation of new State of Chhattisgarh.

3. The State Government is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2.2.2006 and also in view of the order dated 20.12.2005 passed by this Court in W.P. No. 1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

4. For the reasons stated-above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Babla